

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION, NO.	
09/674,403	10/31/2000	Jesse A. May	1700 F US	8448	
26356 75	90 08/09/2002				
	EARCH, LTD.		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
R&D COUNSE 6201 SOUTH F	REEWAY	\	KIFLE, E	KIFLE, BRUCK	
FORT WORTH	I, TX 76134-2099		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1624	X	
		•	DATE MAILED: 08/09/2002	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/674,403

Applicant(s)

May et al.

Examiner

Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.

Art Unit 1624

	The MAII	LING DATE of this com	munication appears	on the cover she	eet with	h the correspondence address		
	for Reply							
THE!	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
	isions of time may ng date of this com		₃ of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). in	n no event, however, m	ay a reply	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If the	period for reply sp	pecified above is less than thirty (				30) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.		
<ul> <li>Failure</li> </ul>	e to reply within th	he set or extended period for repl	ly will, by statute, cause t	the application to becom	me ABAND	DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
-		he Office later than three months istment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	-	this communication, ev	en if timen	y filed, may reduce any		
Status								
1) 🔯	•	e to communication(s)	_			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2a) ∐	This action	is FINAL.	2b)  X  This ac	tion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
	ition of Claim							
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-</u>	49				is/are pending in the application.		
						is/are pending in the application. 35-36, 41, 42, 45,4647 al. 44 33, 5 is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)					is/are allowed.		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					13, 44, a. is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)					is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims			are	subject	t to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ation Papers							
9) 🗆	The specific	cation is objected to b	y the Examiner.					
10)	The drawin	g(s) filed on	is/are	e a) 🗀 accepter	d or b)	$\square$ objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant r	may not request that an	y objection to the a	drawing(s) be hele	d in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The propos	ed drawing correction	filed on	is:	a) 🗆 🛭 a	approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved	d, corrected drawings ar	e required in reply	to this Office act	ion.			
12)	The oath or	r declaration is objecte	ed to by the Exam	iner.				
		.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)□	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) [	a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certifi	ied copies of the priori	ty documents hav	ve been received	qA ni t	plication No		
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*S	ee the attach	hed detailed Office act	tion for a list of th	e certified copie	s not re	eceived.		
_		lgement is made of a c						
	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15)	Acknowled	gement is made of a c	laim for domestic	priority under 3	35 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm	-							
$\sim$	otice of References					O-413) Paper No(s)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  6) Other:						rt Application (PTO-152)		
3)   Y   IIII	omation Disclosur	/e Statement(s) (P10-1449) Pap	er No(s)	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/674,403 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

Applicant's amendments and remarks filed 5/20/02 have been received and reviewed.

Claims 1-49 are still pending in this application. There is no instruction to cancel claim 34.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13, 14, 17, 18, 21, 22, 25, 26, 34, 39, 40, 43, 44 and 47 are under consideration.

Claims 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15, 16, 19, 20, 23, 24, 27-33, 35-38, 41, 42, 45, 46, 48 and 49 are withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13, 14, 17, 18, 21, 22, 25, 26, 34, 39, 40, 43, 44 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

i) It is unclear which atoms make-up the monocyclic heteroaromatic group denoted by "Aryl." It is not known which atoms are present, how many of each is present, what size ring is intended, etc. Applicants state that heteroaromatic ring refers to thiophene, furan, pyrrole, pyridine, pyridine, pyridazine and pyrazine. These should be included within the claims.

- iv) The last phrase of each claim should read "or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt or solvate thereof" to be of proper Markush language presenting the possibilities in the alternative. The appropriate amendments have not been made.
- vi) Claim 34 improperly depends from claim 1. The claim is still present

Application/Control Number: 09/674,403 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

vii) In the definition of R<sup>1</sup>, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claims 17, 18, 21, 22, 25, 26, 43 and 44 are again objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of eachother. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). All of these claims are drawn to the same composition. The intended use is irrelevant because it does not carry any patentability weight. Different intended uses are given no material weight in such claims. Note In re Tuominen 213 USPQ 89.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 1624

Claims 1, 2, 17, 18, 21, 22, 25, 26, 39, 40, 43 and 44 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sianesi et al. (DE 2022694 or J. Med. Chem

(1973), 16(10), 1133-7)). The claims read on the compound with RN 31848-26-7P (see

CAS abstract and structure). This compound is in the keto form but the claims are written in the enol form (when R<sup>1</sup> is OH) which is always present in equilibrium.

Claims 1, 2, 17, 18, 21, 22, 25, 26, 39, 40, 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dean et al. (US 5,153,192). The claims read on the compound of RN 138891-00-6 (see CAS abstract and structure).

Claims 1, 2, 17, 18, 21, 22, 25, 26, 39, 40, 43 and 44 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mizuno et al. (WO 95/18117). The claims read on the compounds of RN 170631-54-6; 170631-55-7; 170631-57-9; 170631-68-2; 170631-69-3; 170631-75-1170631-76-2 and 170631-77-3 (see CAS abstract and structure).

Claims 1, 2, 17, 18, 21, 22, 25, 26, 39, 40, 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by May et al. (WO 95/19981). The claims read on the compound of RN 171273-45-3; 171273-60-2 and 171273-87-3 (see CAS abstract and structure).

Claims 1, 2, 17, 18, 21, 22, 25, 26, 39, 40, 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Masaki et al. (WO 95/26959). The claims read on the compound of RN 173365-24-7; 173365-36-1; 173365-38-3; 173365-39-4 (see CAS abstract and structure).

Application/Control Number: 09/674,403 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

Claims 1, 2, 17, 18, 21, 22, 25, 26, 39, 40, 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mizuno et al. (US 5,874,429). The claims read on the compound of RN 220716-37-0; 220716-38-1; 170631-68-2; 170631-57-9; 170631-58-0; 170631-76-2; 170631-77-3 (see CAS abstract and structure).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruck Kifle whose telephone number is (703) 305-4484.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556 or (703) 305-3592. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

August 8, 2002

Bruck Kifle
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1624